



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,280	03/10/2004	Michele Cargill	CL001510	9662
25748	7590	01/31/2007	EXAMINER	
CELERA GENOMICS			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
ATTN: WAYNE MONTGOMERY, VICE PRES, INTEL PROPERTY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
45 WEST GUDE DRIVE				1634
C2-4#20				
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/31/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TB

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,280	CARGILL ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeanine A. Goldberg	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** after FOAM(1) Jeanine A. Goldberg.(3) Victor Li.(2) Ram Shukla.(4) Ben Wang.**Date of Interview:** 23 January 2007**Time:** 3:00pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All pending 11/7/06

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant called to determine whether there was any means for obtaining examination of more than one SNP per application. The applicants acknowledged that the SNPs are not obvious over one another, and as such are independent and distinct. The examiner explained the burden to search more than one SNP, which includes not only sequence searches, but also text searches in STN and in SNP databases. The office indicated that if applicant claimed a combination of SNPs (i.e. A +B+C+D) more than one SNP would be examined, however in the form of (A or B or C.or D) the searches were not coextensive and a burden. The examiner did indicate that searching for one position, both alleles is not usually a burden.